## Temporal and spatial analysis of chlorophyll-*a* measurements within the Strait of Georgia, BC



Hailey Eckstrand, Maycira Costa, Trisalyn Nelson and Nick Komick



UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA . DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY

### Background

- Phytoplankton plays an important role in the marine food web
- Cushing's (1990) match-mismatch hypothesis



## Background

- Examples of Cushing's (1990) hypothesis:
  - Strait of Georgia, 2005, salmon (DFO, 2007)
- Satellite imagery provides information regarding the timing and extent of the spring bloom

In the Strait, need ground data for validation of chlorophyll a (chl-a)
 Proxy for phytoplankton



Image Source: Komick & Costa, (submitted)

### Background

- Previously, punctual ship or buoy data used for validation
- Emergence of Ships-of-Opportunity (SOOP) with flowthrough fluorometer
  - high spatial and temporal resolution data
- Most studies use the mean of points within the pixel to validate the satellite image

>No variance check!!!



## Objective

- To investigate the spatial and temporal variability of chl-a means measured by a flow-through fluorometer installed on a ship-of-opportunity (SOOP)
  - We used data acquired in the Strait of Georgia, which represents a very dynamic environment, with regard to variability of chl-a in both space and time.
  - We hope that our results will be illustrative of other coastal waters and as such, provide guidelines for using SOOP data to validate chl-a estimates from ocean colour satellites.

#### Methods

STRATOGEM Data

#### BC Ferry

- Duke Pt Tsawwassen
- 8 runs/day
- 30 sec. intervals
- 200-300/run



**MONITORING SYSTEM** Wetstar fluorometer (& timed hydraulic cleaner), SBE-45 Thermosalinograph and Tri-M systems PC/104 controller.

Image Source: STRATOGEM

# Study Area

- 10 stations, 1100 m hypothetical pixel
- 12:45-3:15 pm (PST)
- For each station:
  Mean
  Variability characterized using CV
  Winter/fall
  Spring/summer



## Methodology

- Define the variability of the mean
  - Spatially
  - Temporally
- Variability analysis:
  - Mean and CV distribution Illustrates the variability of the mean within a station~ hypothetical pixel
    - Mann Whitney U test Defines the significant differences between station CV distributions (seasonally and spatially)
    - Define threshold of background variability in CV

#### **Results - Means**



### **Results - CVs**



#### Results - Seasonal

– Mann Whitney U test

Cross seasonal differences in CV distributions



## Results - Spatial

Significant differences in the distributions of CV's between stations

Slaliuns					Spri	ng / Sur	nmer				
		А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Η	Ι	J
	A										
	В	0.04									
Stations E G & H	С	0.53	0.14								
	D	0.99	0.05	0.54							
<ul> <li>Heterogeneous</li> </ul>	E	0.88	0.03	0.44	0.90						
insteregeneeue	F	0.12	0.73	0.29	0.10	0.07					
waters	G	0.01	0.47	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.22				
	Η	0.00	0.25	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.68			
and the second se	Ι	0.08	0.61	0.26	0.07	0.04	0.93	0.23	0.09		
	J	0.08	0.71	0.24	9.07	0.94	0.89	0.28	0.12	0.95	
					W	inter / F	all				
		Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Η	Ι	J
	Α										
	В	0.42									
Stations C, E, H & J	С	0.14	0.02								
	D	0.22	0.77	0.00							
<ul> <li>Heterogeneous</li> </ul>	E	0.06	0.34	0.00	0.47						
watere	F	0.82	0.50	0.08	0.30	0.08					
waters	G	0.55	0.13	0.39	0.06	0.01	0.41				
	Η	0.07	0.01	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.19			
	Ι	0.68	0.20	0.33	0.08	0.02	0.46	0.98	0.17		
	J	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	

#### Results

#### Spring/Summer

• G & H

•Heterogeneous due to high CV

Winter/Fall

• H & J

 Heterogeneous due to high CV, whereas E is due to low CV Percentage results with CV > 0.11, defined to be non-background variability.

Station	Summer/Spring	Winter/Fall		
	(%)	(%)		
Α	19.2	11.0		
В	19.5	10.3		
С	17.4	10.5		
D	18.6	4.6		
Ε	18.2	4.6		
F	22.9	8.8		
G	24.6	10.1		
Н	24.9	13.0		
Ι	17.6	12.3		
J	19.4	21.5		
Mean	20.23	10.7		

## Summary

- Mean varies seasonally, spatially and annually
- CV variability is the highest:
  - Spring/summer
    - •Middle of the Strait where means are highest
    - Possible front of freshwater plume
    - •Biological fronts (Parsons et al., 1981)

•Winter/fall

- •Closest to the Fraser plume where means are lowest,
- but not in the summer
- Further Investigation

#### Implications

- Main point Caution is required when using SOOP mean data for validating satellite imagery.
  - At certain times the mean may be representative, however, the variance should be assessed



Image Source: Google Images



#### **Future Research**

- Compare chl-a estimates from MODIS-Aqua satellite images to ferry data within the station locations
- Is the mean representative?
  - Would minimum or maximum chl-a values be more representative for different times?

#### Acknowledgements

- STRATOGEM Project
- NSERC USRA
- SPAR Lab
- Spectral Lab







#### References

- Cushing, D.H. (1990). Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: an update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. Advances in Marine Biology, 26, 249-293.
- DFO (2007). State of the Pacific Ocean 2006. DFO Sci. Ocean Status Report 2007/001
- Komick, N. and Costa, M.P.F. (submitted). Atmospheric Correction of MODIS imagery for the coastal waters of British Columbia, Canada, *Remote* Sensing of Environment
- Parsons, T.R., Stronach, J. Borstad, G.A. Louttit, G. and Perry, R.I. (1981). Biological fronts in the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia, and their relation to recent measurements of primary productivity. *Marine Ecology – Progress Series*, 6, 237-242.